



ACADEMIC
PRESS

Available at
WWW.MATHEMATICSWEB.ORG
POWERED BY SCIENCE @ DIRECT®

Journal of Approximation Theory 121 (2003) 220–228

JOURNAL OF
**Approximation
Theory**

<http://www.elsevier.com/locate/jat>

Shift-invariant spaces of tempered distributions and L_p -functions

Di-Rong Chen^{a,b,* ,1}

^a *Department of Applied Mathematics, Beijing University of Aeronautics and Astronautics, Beijing 100083, The People's Republic of China*

^b *Department of Mathematics, Hubei Institute for Nationalities, Enshi, Hubei 445000, The People's Republic of China*

Received 10 July 2001; accepted 22 November 2002

Communicated by Rong-Qing Jia

Abstract

This paper studies the structure of shift-invariant spaces. A characterization for the univariate shift-invariant spaces of tempered distributions is given. In L_p case, an inclusive relation in terms of Fourier transform is established.

© 2003 Elsevier Science (USA). All rights reserved.

Keywords: Shift-invariant space; Semi-convolution; Toeplitz theorem

1. Introduction

This paper studies the structure of shift-invariant spaces generated by finitely many compactly supported distributions.

A linear space S of distributions is *shift-invariant* if, for any $\phi \in S$ and any $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^s$, $\phi(\cdot - \alpha) \in S$.

The space $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^s)$ of rapidly decreasing functions is the set of all infinitely differentiable functions t such that, for any polynomial $p(x)$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_+^s$,

$$|p(x)\partial^\alpha t(x)| \rightarrow 0, \quad |x| \rightarrow \infty.$$

The dual space $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^s)$ is the space of tempered distributions. Similarly, $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{Z}^s) \subseteq \ell(\mathbb{Z}^s)$ consists of all sequences that decay faster than the reciprocal of any

*Corresponding author.

E-mail address: matdrchen@sina.com.cn.

¹Supported in part by NSF of China under Grant 10171007.

polynomial sequence, where $\ell(\mathbb{Z}^s)$ is the set of all complex valued sequences defined on \mathbb{Z}^s . A sequence b is in $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{Z}^s)$, the dual space of $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{Z}^s)$, if and only if b is of polynomial growth, i.e., there exists a polynomial p satisfying $|b(\alpha)| \leq |p(\alpha)|, \alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^s$.

For a distribution ϕ and a sequence $b \in \ell(\mathbb{Z}^s)$, the *semi-convolution* $\phi *' b$ is defined by

$$\phi *' b = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^s} b(\alpha) \phi(\cdot - \alpha).$$

It is well defined in case either ϕ is compactly supported or $b \in \ell_0(\mathbb{Z}^s)$, where $\ell_0(\mathbb{Z}^s)$ is the subspace of finitely supported sequences in $\ell(\mathbb{Z}^s)$. In both cases, it is clear that $\phi *' b \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^s)$, the space of distributions on $C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^s)$ of compactly supported and infinitely differentiable functions.

Throughout this paper we assume that Φ is a finite set consisting of $\phi_j \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^s), j = 1, \dots, m$. We define the linear space $S_0(\Phi)$ as the smallest shift-invariant space containing Φ , that is,

$$S_0(\Phi) = \left\{ \sum_{1 \leq j \leq m} \phi_j *' b_j : b_j \in \ell_0(\mathbb{Z}^s), 1 \leq j \leq m \right\}.$$

For $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, by $L_p(\mathbb{R}^s)$ we denote the Banach space of all complex valued measurable functions on \mathbb{R}^s such that $\|f\|_p < \infty$, where

$$\|f\|_p := \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^s} |f(x)|^p dx \right)^{1/p}, \quad 1 \leq p < \infty,$$

and $\|f\|_\infty$ is the essential supremum of f on \mathbb{R}^s . The Fourier transform \hat{f} , for $f \in L_1(\mathbb{R}^s)$, is defined by

$$\hat{f}(\omega) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^s} f(x) e^{-ix\omega} d\omega, \quad \omega \in \mathbb{R}^s.$$

The Fourier transform of a distribution in $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^s)$ is defined by duality. It is well known that the Fourier transform of a compactly supported distribution can be extended to an entire function of $z \in \mathbb{C}^s$.

For compactly supported distributions $\phi_j \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^s), j = 1, \dots, m$, the shift-invariant space $S(\Phi) \subseteq \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^s)$ is given by

$$S(\Phi) = \left\{ \sum_{1 \leq j \leq m} \phi_j *' b_j : b_j \in \ell(\mathbb{Z}^s), 1 \leq j \leq m \right\}.$$

Further, if Φ consists of finitely many functions in $L_p(\mathbb{R}^s)$, denote by $S_p(\Phi)$ the closure of $S_0(\Phi)$ in $L_p(\mathbb{R}^s)$. It is the smallest closed shift-invariant space in $L_p(\mathbb{R}^s)$ that contains Φ .

It is a meaningful problem, for a shift-invariant subspace $S \subseteq S(\Phi)$, to characterize the elements of S . The following result on this direction is due to de Boor et al. [2],

which holds for any finite set $\Phi \subseteq L_2(\mathbb{R}^s)$.

$$S_2(\Phi) = \left\{ f \in L_2(\mathbb{R}^s) : \hat{f} = \sum_{1 \leq j \leq m} \hat{\phi}_j a_j, a_j \text{ is } 2\pi\mathbb{Z}^s\text{-periodic, } 1 \leq j \leq m \right\}. \quad (1.1)$$

The characterization (1.1) plays an important role in wavelet analysis as well as the approximation order by $S_2(\Phi)$, see [1–4] etc. In [6], Jia gave another proof of (1.1). It was proved in [6] that, for $\Phi \subseteq L_p(\mathbb{R}^s)$, $S(\Phi) \cap L_p(\mathbb{R}^s)$ is closed in $L_p(\mathbb{R}^s)$ and that $S(\Phi) \cap L_2(\mathbb{R}^s) = S_2(\Phi)$ for $\Phi \subseteq L_2(\mathbb{R}^s)$.

It is well known that, for any $p \in [1, 2]$ and any function $f \in L_p(\mathbb{R}^s)$, the Fourier transform $\hat{f} \in L_{p'}(\mathbb{R}^s)$, where p' is the conjugate number of p , $1/p + 1/p' = 1$. The analogue of the subspace in the right-hand side of (1.1) is defined as follows:

$$X_p(\Phi) = \left\{ f \in L_p(\mathbb{R}^s) : \hat{f} = \sum_{1 \leq j \leq m} \hat{\phi}_j a_j, a_j \text{ is } 2\pi\mathbb{Z}^s\text{-periodic, } 1 \leq j \leq m \right\}. \quad (1.2)$$

In this paper, we are interested in the shift-invariant spaces in $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^s)$ and $L_p(\mathbb{R}^s)$, respectively. When Φ consists of compactly supported distributions in $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^s)$, we give a characterization in Section 2 for $f \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^s)$ by the coefficients $b_j(\alpha)$, $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^s$, $1 \leq j \leq m$, provided that either $s = 1$ or the shifts of Φ are stable (see below for the definition of stable). For $\Phi \subseteq L_p(\mathbb{R}^s)$, $1 \leq p \leq 2$, consisting of compactly supported functions, we establish in Section 3 that $S(\Phi) \cap L_p(\mathbb{R}^s) \subseteq X_p(\Phi)$. As a by product we present a proof of the equality $S(\Phi) \cap L_2(\mathbb{R}^s) = S_2(\Phi)$ for $\Phi \subseteq L_2(\mathbb{R}^s)$.

There are two methods to describe the structure of shift-invariant spaces. Fourier transforms of ϕ_j , $1 \leq j \leq m$, have been used extensively and mainly to characterize the shift-invariant subspaces in $L_2(\mathbb{R}^s)$. Another method is to use semi-convolution. It turns out that the semi-convolution is a powerful tool to deal with the non- L_2 case as well as L_2 case. Our method is a combination of them.

2. Shift-invariant subspaces of tempered distributions

In this section we characterize the shift-invariant subspaces of $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^s)$ in terms of coefficients in semi-convolution.

We first introduce some notions and results about the linear independence and stability of the shifts of Φ . Let $\Phi = \{\phi_j\}_{1 \leq j \leq m}$ be a finite set consisting of compactly supported distributions. The shifts of Φ are *linearly independent (stable, respectively)* if for any $b_j \in \ell(\mathbb{Z}^s) (\ell_\infty(\mathbb{Z}^s))$, respectively, $1 \leq j \leq m$,

$$\sum_{1 \leq j \leq m} \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^s} b_j(\alpha) \phi_j(\cdot - \alpha) = 0 \Rightarrow b_j(\alpha) = 0, \quad 1 \leq j \leq m, \quad \alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^s. \quad (2.1)$$

Let Φ consist of finitely many compactly supported distributions $\phi_j \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^s)$, $1 \leq j \leq m$. The restriction of $S(\Phi)$ to the cube $\Omega = (-1, 1)^s$ is a finite dimensional subspace of $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^s)$. There exists a basis ψ_k , $1 \leq k \leq n$, of $S(\Phi)|_\Omega$. For any $b_k \in \ell(\mathbb{Z}^s)$, $\sum_{1 \leq k \leq n} \psi_k * b_k = 0$ if and only if $b_k = 0$, $1 \leq k \leq n$.

Obviously, there exists $m \times n$ finitely supported sequences c_{jk} , $1 \leq j \leq m$, $1 \leq k \leq n$, such that for any $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^s$

$$\phi_j(\cdot + \alpha)|_\Omega = \sum_{1 \leq k \leq n} c_{jk}(\alpha)\psi_k. \tag{2.2}$$

Define the Laurent polynomials as

$$g_{jk}(z) = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^s} c_{jk}(\alpha)z^{-\alpha}, \quad z \in (\mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\})^s, \quad 1 \leq j \leq m, \quad 1 \leq k \leq n, \tag{2.3}$$

and the matrix

$$G(z) = (g_{jk}(z))_{1 \leq j \leq m, 1 \leq k \leq n}, \quad z \in (\mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\})^s. \tag{2.4}$$

Recall from [7] that the linear independence (stability, respectively) of the shifts of Φ is equivalent to the fact that, for any $z \in (\mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\})^s$ ($z \in \mathbb{T}^s$, respectively) the matrix $G(z)$ has rank m .

The difference operator is a convenient tool. Given $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^s$, the operator τ^α on $\ell(\mathbb{Z}^s)$ is defined by $\tau^\alpha f = f(\cdot + \alpha)$, $f \in \ell(\mathbb{Z}^s)$. A Laurent polynomial $p(z) = \sum_\alpha c(\alpha)z^\alpha$ induces a difference operator $p(\tau) = \sum_\alpha c(\alpha)\tau^\alpha$.

Denote by V the set of all the distributions that have the following representation: there exist some $f_k \in \ell(\mathbb{Z}^s)$, $1 \leq k \leq n$, such that for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^s$,

$$f(\cdot + \alpha)|_\Omega = \sum_{1 \leq k \leq n} f_k(\alpha)\psi_k. \tag{2.5}$$

Clearly, $S(\Phi) \subseteq V$. Moreover, a distribution $f \in V$ with representation (2.5) belongs to $S(\Phi)$ if and only if the system

$$\sum_{1 \leq j \leq m} g_{jk}(\tau)b_j = f_k, \quad 1 \leq k \leq n \tag{2.6}$$

is solvable for b_j , $1 \leq j \leq m$. The details are referred to, for example, [7].

Based on Toeplitz Theorem, Jia [5] characterized the solvability of (2.6) as follows.

Lemma 1 (Jia [5]). *Assume that for any pair of (j, β) , there are only finitely many pairs of (k, α) such that $c_{jk}(\alpha - \beta) \neq 0$. Then the system of difference equations (2.6) is solvable if and only if it satisfies that, for any Laurent polynomials $q_k(z)$, $1 \leq k \leq n$,*

$$\sum_{1 \leq k \leq n} g_{jk}q_k = 0 \quad \forall 1 \leq j \leq m \Rightarrow \sum_{1 \leq k \leq n} q_k(\tau)f_k = 0. \tag{2.7}$$

Our first result is a characterization of $f \in V$ to be a distribution in $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^s)$ in terms of its coefficient sequences f_k , $1 \leq k \leq n$. Its proof is elementary and, therefore, omitted.

Lemma 2. *Let $f \in V$ be as given in (2.6) with the coefficients $f_k(\alpha)$, $1 \leq k \leq n$, $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^s$. Then $f \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^s)$ if and only if for any k , $1 \leq k \leq n$, $f_k \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{Z}^s)$.*

The following lemma is a well-known result.

Lemma 3. For any nonzero univariate Laurent polynomial $q(z)$ and a sequence $g \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{Z})$, the difference equation $q(\tau)a = g$ has solution $a \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{Z})$. The solution is unique if and only if $q(z)$ has no zero on \mathbb{T} .

Theorem 4. Assume that $\Phi = \{\phi_j\}_{1 \leq j \leq m}$ is a finite set of compactly supported distributions in $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^s)$. For univariate case, $s = 1$, we have

$$S(\Phi) \cap \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^s) = \left\{ f: f = \sum_{1 \leq j \leq m} \phi_j *' b_j, b_j \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{Z}^s), 1 \leq j \leq m \right\}. \tag{2.8}$$

Proof. It is clear that for any $b_j \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{Z}^s)$, $\sum_{1 \leq j \leq m} \phi_j *' b_j \in S(\Phi) \cap \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^s)$. Assume now $f \in S(\Phi) \cap \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^s)$. Then $f \in V$. There exist coefficient sequences $f_k \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{Z})$, $1 \leq k \leq n$, such that (2.5) holds. As we have known that, for such f_k , $1 \leq k \leq n$, the system of difference equations (2.6) is solvable for some b_j , $1 \leq j \leq m$. Therefore the implication relation (2.7) holds.

We shall appeal to the results for the decompositions of (univariate) polynomial matrices to establish the solvability of (2.6) for $b_j \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{Z})$, $1 \leq j \leq m$. Recall that $G(z)$ is the Laurent polynomial matrix in (2.3). It is well known that there exist an $n \times n$ Laurent polynomial matrix $L(z)$ and a $m \times m$ Laurent polynomial matrix $R(z)$ satisfying $\det L(z) = \det R(z) = 1$, $z \in \mathbb{C}$, and $L(z)G^T(z) = D^T(z)R(z)$, $z \in \mathbb{C}$, where

$$D(z) = (d_{jk}(z))_{1 \leq j \leq m, 1 \leq k \leq n}$$

is a Laurent polynomial matrix with the only nonzero entries $d_{jj}(z)$, $j = 1, 2, \dots, r$, and r being the largest number such that there exists $r \times r$ submatrix of $G(z)$ whose determinant is not identically zero. Moreover, $d_{jj}(z)$ is a factor of $d_{j+1j+1}(z)$, $j = 1, \dots, r - 1$.

As usual, the operator $L(\tau)$ on $(\ell(\mathbb{Z}))^n$ is induced by matrix $L(z)$. Moreover, as Lemma 3, we may conclude that $L(\tau)$ is an invertible operator on $(\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{Z}))^n$. Similarly $R(\tau)$ is invertible on $(\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{Z}))^m$. Let $b = (b_1, \dots, b_m)^T$ and $f = (f_1, \dots, f_n)^T$. Then we may rewrite (2.6) as $G^T(\tau)b = f$. Therefore, it is obvious that (2.6) has solution $b_j \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{Z})$, $1 \leq j \leq m$, if and only if the system of difference equations

$$\sum_{1 \leq j \leq m} d_{jk}(\tau)a_j = h_k, \quad 1 \leq k \leq n, \tag{2.9}$$

has solution $a_j \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{Z})$, $1 \leq j \leq m$, where h_k , $1 \leq k \leq n$, are given by

$$h = (h_1, \dots, h_n)^T = L(\tau)f \in (\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{Z}))^n.$$

We first conclude that $h_i = 0$ for $i = r + 1, \dots, n$. To this end denote

$$L(z) = (l_{ik}(z))_{1 \leq i, k \leq n}.$$

Recall that all entries in the last $n - r$ rows of $D^T(z)$ are zero. So does $L(z)G^T(z)$.

This means

$$\sum_{1 \leq k \leq n} g_{jk} l_{ik} = 0 \quad \forall 1 \leq j \leq m, \quad i = r + 1, \dots, n.$$

It follows from (2.7) that

$$h_i = \sum_{1 \leq k \leq n} l_{ik}(\tau) f_k = 0, \quad i = r + 1, \dots, n,$$

as claimed.

By Lemma 3, for any $j, 1 \leq j \leq r$, there exists $a_j \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{Z})$ satisfying $d_{jj}(\tau)a_j = h_j$. Setting $a_j = 0, j = r + 1, \dots, m$, and $b = (b_1, \dots, b_m)^T = (R(\tau))^{-1}a$, then $b_j \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{Z}), 1 \leq j \leq m$, satisfies (2.6). The proof is complete. \square

To establish equality (2.8) in multivariate case we need the stability of the shifts of Φ .

Theorem 5. *Assume that $\Phi = \{\phi_j\}_{1 \leq j \leq m}$ is a finite set of compactly supported distributions in $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^s)$ with stable shifts. Then (2.8) holds for any s .*

Proof. Assume that $f \in S(\Phi) \cap \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^s)$. There are n coefficient sequences $f_k \in \ell(\mathbb{Z}^s), 1 \leq k \leq n$, such that (2.5) holds. By Lemma 2, $f_k \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{Z}^s), 1 \leq k \leq n$. Since the shifts of Φ are stable, the matrix $G(z)$ given as in (2.4) has rank m for any $z \in \mathbb{T}^s$. The system of difference equations (2.6) satisfies (2.7) for that $f_k, 1 \leq k \leq n$. Similar to the proof of [6, Theorem 7.1] we can deduce that the system of difference equations (2.6) is uniquely solvable for $b_j \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{Z}^s), 1 \leq j \leq m$. We refer to [6] for the details. The proof is complete. \square

3. Shift-invariant spaces in $L_p(\mathbb{R}^s) (1 \leq p \leq 2)$

We establish in this section the inclusive relation $S(\Phi) \cap L_p(\mathbb{R}^s) \subseteq X_p(\Phi)$ for $\Phi \in L_p(\mathbb{R}^s), 1 \leq p \leq 2$.

Assume throughout this section that the finite set $\Phi \subseteq L_p(\mathbb{R}^s), 1 \leq p \leq 2$, consists of compactly supported functions. We may consider the restriction of $S(\Phi)$ to the cube $[0, 1]^s$, instead of $(-1, 1)^s$ as in Section 2. Thus, in contrast to Section 2, the basis $\psi_k, 1 \leq k \leq n$, of $S(\Phi)|_{[0, 1]^s}$ can be so chosen that $\psi_k, 1 \leq k \leq n$, are supported on $[0, 1]^s$. As a finite set $\Psi := \{\psi_k\}_{1 \leq k \leq n}$, it satisfies that, for any numbers $c_k \in \mathbb{C}, 1 \leq k \leq n$,

$$\left\| \sum_{1 \leq k \leq n} c_k \psi_k \right\|_{L_p[0, 1]^s} \cong \left(\sum_{1 \leq k \leq n} |c_k|^p \right)^{1/p},$$

from which it follows that, for any $f_k \in \ell_p(\mathbb{Z}^s), 1 \leq k \leq n$,

$$\left\| \sum_{1 \leq k \leq n} \psi_k *' f_k \right\|_p \cong \left(\sum_{1 \leq k \leq n} \|f_k\|_p^p \right)^{1/p}. \tag{3.1}$$

It is always true that $S(\Phi) \subseteq S(\Psi)$. Instead of (2.2), it holds that for some $m \times n$ finitely supported sequences $c_{jk}, 1 \leq j \leq m, 1 \leq k \leq n$,

$$\phi_j = \sum_{1 \leq k \leq n} \psi_k *' c_{jk}, \quad 1 \leq j \leq m. \tag{3.2}$$

Taking Fourier transform, we get

$$\hat{\phi}_j(\omega) = \sum_{1 \leq k \leq n} \hat{\psi}_k(\omega) g_{jk}(e^{i\omega}), \quad 1 \leq j \leq m, \tag{3.3}$$

whereas in Section 2, $g_{jk}(z) = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^s} c_{jk}(\alpha) z^{-\alpha}, 1 \leq j \leq m, 1 \leq k \leq n$.

As in Section 2, an element $f = \sum_{1 \leq k \leq n} \psi_k *' f_k \in S(\Phi)$ if and only if the system of difference equations (2.6) is solvable or, equivalently, the implication relation (2.7) holds.

Theorem 6. *Let Φ be a finite set of compactly supported functions in $L_p(\mathbb{R}^s)$. Then*

$$S(\Phi) \cap L_p(\mathbb{R}^s) \subseteq X_p(\Phi), \quad p \in [1, 2],$$

where $X_p(\Phi)$ is as defined in (1.2).

Proof. Let $f \in S(\Phi) \cap L_p(\mathbb{R}^s)$. Then $f = \sum_{1 \leq k \leq n} \psi_k *' f_k$ with $f_k \in l_p(\mathbb{Z}^s), 1 \leq k \leq n$, by (3.1). By Hausdorff–Young Theorem, for any $1 \leq k \leq n$, the series $\sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^s} f_k(\alpha) e^{i\alpha\omega}$ converges in $L_{p'}[0, 2\pi]^s$ to a function, say, $\tilde{f}_k(\omega)$, where p' is the conjugate number of $p, 1/p + 1/p' = 1$.

On the other hand, since system (2.6) is solvable for $b_j, 1 \leq j \leq m$, it satisfies (2.7) by Lemma 1. Therefore, for any Laurent polynomials $q_k, 1 \leq k \leq n$,

$$\sum_{1 \leq k \leq n} q_k g_{jk} = 0 \quad \forall 1 \leq j \leq m \Rightarrow \sum_{1 \leq k \leq n} q_k(e^{-i\omega}) \tilde{f}_k(\omega) = 0 \quad \text{a.e. } \omega. \tag{3.4}$$

We shall prove that, for a.e. $\omega \in [0, 2\pi]^s$, the following system is solvable.

$$\sum_{1 \leq j \leq m} g_{jk}(e^{i\omega}) a_j = \tilde{f}_k(\omega), \quad 1 \leq k \leq n. \tag{3.5}$$

Let N be the largest integer such that there exists a submatrix $M(z)$ with size N of $G(z)$ satisfying $\det M(z)$ is not identically zero. As a Laurent polynomial $\det M(z) \neq 0$ for a.e. z . Without loss of any generality, we assume

$$M(z) = (g_{jk}(z))_{1 \leq j, k \leq N}.$$

Given any $2\pi\mathbb{Z}^s$ -periodic functions $a_j(\omega), N + 1 \leq j \leq m$, the system

$$\sum_{1 \leq j \leq N} g_{jk}(e^{i\omega}) a_j = \tilde{f}_k(\omega) - \sum_{N+1 \leq j \leq m} g_{jk}(e^{i\omega}) a_j(\omega), \quad 1 \leq k \leq N \tag{3.6}$$

has unique solution $a_j := a_j(\omega)$, $1 \leq j \leq N$, for a.e. ω . Clearly, $a_j(\omega)$ are also $2\pi\mathbb{Z}^s$ -periodic functions. Therefore, $a_j(\omega)$, $1 \leq j \leq m$, solve the first N equations in (3.5).

It needs to verify that $a_j(\omega)$, $1 \leq j \leq m$, satisfy the last $n - N$ equations in (3.5). In fact, for any k_0 , $N < k_0 \leq n$, by the definitions of N and $M(z)$, there exist Laurent polynomials q_k , $1 \leq k \leq N$, and q_{k_0} , not all zero, such that

$$q_{k_0}(z)g_{jk_0}(z) + \sum_{1 \leq k \leq N} q_k(z)g_{jk}(z) = 0, \quad z \in \mathbb{T}^s, \quad 1 \leq j \leq m. \tag{3.7}$$

It is clear that q_{k_0} is not identically zero, for otherwise, the above equalities imply $\det M(z) = 0$, $z \in \mathbb{T}^s$, a contradiction. It follows from Lemma 1 and (3.4) that

$$\tilde{f}_{k_0}(\omega) = - \sum_{1 \leq k \leq N} q_k(e^{-i\omega})\tilde{f}_k(\omega)/q_{k_0}(e^{-i\omega}) \quad \text{a.e. } \omega. \tag{3.8}$$

By (3.7), (3.6) and (3.8), we obtain that for a.e. ω

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{1 \leq j \leq m} g_{jk_0}(e^{i\omega})a_j(\omega) \\ &= - \sum_{1 \leq k \leq N} \sum_{1 \leq j \leq m} g_{jk}(e^{i\omega})a_j(\omega)q_k(e^{-i\omega})/q_{k_0}(e^{-i\omega}) \\ &= - \sum_{1 \leq k \leq N} q_k(e^{-i\omega})\tilde{f}_k(\omega)/q_{k_0}(e^{-i\omega}) = \tilde{f}_{k_0}(\omega), \end{aligned}$$

as desired. It follows from equalities (3.3) and (3.5) that

$$\hat{f} = \sum_{1 \leq j \leq m} \hat{\phi}_j a_j \quad \text{a.e. } \omega. \tag{3.9}$$

The proof is complete. \square

Corollary 7 (Jia [6, Theorem 4.1]). *Let Φ be a finite set of compactly supported functions in $L_2(\mathbb{R}^s)$. Then*

$$S(\Phi) \cap L_2(\mathbb{R}^s) = S_2(\Phi).$$

Proof. Recall that $S_2(\Phi)$ is the smallest closed shift-invariant space containing Φ . Since $S(\Phi) \cap L_2(\mathbb{R}^s)$ is a closed subspace of $L_2(\mathbb{R}^s)$ containing Φ [6], $S_2(\Phi) \subseteq S(\Phi) \cap L_2(\mathbb{R}^s)$. By Theorem 6, it suffices to establish $X_2(\Phi) \subseteq S_2(\Phi)$.

Suppose that $g \in S_2(\Phi)^\perp$, the orthogonal complement of $S_2(\Phi)$ in $L_2(\mathbb{R}^s)$. Then

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^s} \phi_j(x - \alpha)\overline{g(x)} dx = 0, \quad 1 \leq j \leq m, \quad \alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^s.$$

Therefore, by Parseval identity, for any j , $1 \leq j \leq m$,

$$\sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^s} \hat{\phi}_j(\omega + 2\pi\alpha)\overline{\hat{g}(\omega + 2\pi\alpha)} = 0 \quad \text{a.e. } \omega.$$

For any $f \in X_2(\Phi)$, there are some $2\pi\mathbb{Z}^s$ -periodic functions a_j , $1 \leq j \leq m$, such that (3.9) holds. By the above equalities

$$\sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^s} \hat{f}(\omega + 2\pi\alpha) \overline{\hat{g}(\omega + 2\pi\alpha)} = 0 \quad \text{a.e. } \omega.$$

This in turn gives $\int_{\mathbb{R}^s} f(x) \overline{g(x)} dx = 0$. Therefore, $g \in X_2(\Phi)^\perp$. It follows that $S_2(\Phi)^\perp \subseteq X_2(\Phi)^\perp$. The proof is complete. \square

Acknowledgments

The work was prepared when the author visited University of Alberta. The author thanks Professors R.Q. Jia and S.D. Riemenschneider for their valuable suggestions and support. The author also thanks the anonymous referees for their valuable help.

References

- [1] C. de Boor, R. DeVore, A. Ron, On the construction of multivariate (pre) wavelets, *Constr. Approx.* 9 (1993) 123–166.
- [2] C. de Boor, R. DeVore, A. Ron, The structure of finitely generated shift-invariant subspaces, *J. Funct. Anal.* 119 (1994) 37–78.
- [3] C. de Boor, R. DeVore, A. Ron, Approximation from shift-invariant subspaces in $L_2(\mathbb{R}^s)$, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 341 (1994) 787–806.
- [4] D.R. Chen, On the splitting trick and wavelet frame packets, *SIAM Math. Anal. Appl.* 31 (2000) 726–739.
- [5] R.Q. Jia, The Toeplitz theorem and its applications to approximation theory and linear PDE's, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 347 (1995) 2585–2594.
- [6] R.Q. Jia, Shift-invariant spaces and linear operator equations, *Israel J. Math* 103 (1998) 259–288.
- [7] R.Q. Jia, C.A. Micchelli, On linear independence for integer translates of a finite number of functions, *Proc. Edinburgh Math. Soc.* 36 (1992) 69–85.